Extragalactic Foregrounds and Lens Reconstruction

Stephen Osborne, Duncan Hanson & Olivier Doré

Planck

Launched 14 May 2009 Completed 3 surveys, expect 5



Movie Credit: ESA, C. Carreau

Planck

Launched 14 May 2009

Completed 3 surveys, expect 5



Allows for a great lens reconstruction

Highest sensitivity at 143GHz



And it's working as expected!

From HFI in-flight performance paper (arXiv:1101.2039v1):



Where is the information?



Foregrounds at relevant scales

Radio Poisson sources are the dominant foreground



Image from Millea (2011)

How is the estimator affected?

$$\phi_{LM} = \frac{1}{2} A_L \sum_{l_i m_i} (-1)^M \begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & L \\ m_1 & m_2 & -M \end{pmatrix} g_{l_1 l_2}(L) \frac{\Theta_{l_1 m_1} \Theta_{l_2 m_2}}{C_{l_1}^{\Theta \Theta} C_{l_2}^{\Theta \Theta}}$$

Planck $l_{\rm max} \sim 1500$ ACT/SPT $l_{\rm max} \sim 2000 - 3000$

 $C_L^{\phi\phi} \sim \langle \Theta_{l_1m_1} \Theta_{l_2m_2} \Theta_{l_3m_3} \Theta_{l_4m_4} \rangle_c + \langle \Theta_{l_1m_1} \Theta_{l_2m_2} \Theta_{l_3m_3} \Theta_{l_4m_4} \rangle_d$

Includes non-Gaussian and Gaussian terms Gaussian—removed along with the noise bias eg: $\langle \Theta_{l_1m_1}\Theta_{l_2m_2}\rangle \langle \Theta_{l_3m_3}\Theta_{l_4m_4}\rangle$

Our foreground model

$$\Theta \approx \tilde{\Theta}^{\rm CMB} + \nabla \tilde{\Theta}^{\rm CMB} \cdot \nabla \phi + \Theta^{\rm ISW} + \sum_{i} F_{i} + n$$
$$C_{L}^{\phi\phi} \sim \langle \Theta_{l_{1}m_{1}} \Theta_{l_{2}m_{2}} \Theta_{l_{3}m_{3}} \Theta_{l_{4}m_{4}} \rangle$$

 CL contains non-Gaussian Poisson terms like F⁴ and Gaussian clustering terms like F² x F²

 \sim

$$F^{2} \sim \int_{0}^{S_{max}} dS \frac{dN}{dS} S^{2}$$
$$\frac{dN}{dS}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \frac{d\bar{N}}{dS}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \left[1 + b \int dz n(z) \delta(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, z)\right] \quad \text{Babich (2008)}$$

- Ignore ISW since we can throw away low-I CMB data
- Also have point source lensing with $\,dN/dS\sim 1-2\kappa$

What has been done already?



- Smith (2007) and Hirata (2008) looked at φ-g bias from extragalactic foregrounds (and masking bias)
- Polarized foregrounds in Smith (2008, 2011)

Image from Das (2011)

All terms

$C_L^{\phi\phi} \sim \left\langle \Theta_{l_1m_1} \Theta_{l_2m_2} \Theta_{l_3m_3} \Theta_{l_4m_4} \right\rangle$			
$\theta = \tilde{\Theta}^{\text{CMB}} + \nabla \tilde{\Theta}^{\text{CMB}} \cdot \nabla \phi + \Theta^{\text{ISW}} + n$			
One Source		Two Source (work in progress)	
F^4	Poisson term	F^3F	Non-zero due to point
$F^3\theta$	F^3 ISW correlation	F^2F^2	source clustering
$F^2 \theta^2$	F^2 ϕ correlation	$FF\theta^2$	F F ϕ correlation
$F\theta^3$	ISW correlation	$F^2F\theta$	ISW correlation
Three Source		Four Source	
FFF^2	Two correlation	FFFI	Three correlation
FFF heta	ISW correlation	TUNCTIONS	

One source terms



 $+ \langle F_{l_1m_1}F_{l_2m_2}\rangle \left\langle F_{l_3m_3}F_{l_4m_4}\right\rangle \left.\right)$



One source terms II



$$+ 2 \langle F_{l_1m_1}\theta_{l_2m_2}F_{l_3m_3}\theta_{l_4m_4}\rangle \rangle$$



How do we test the model?

- Sehgal (2009) simulations at 90, 148, 219 & 350GHz
 Includes cross-correlation between components
- Two flux sensitivities used:
 - Planck 250, 200, 190, 290 mJy 3000 clusters masked

ACT/SPT 6 mJy 12000 clusters masked

Simulations—Planck



Simulations—ACT/SPT



How to do it in practice?



Works well on the simulations



Conclusions

- Planck will get a significant lensing detection (26σ for 50µK-arcmin noise)
- The lensing estimator is biased by unresolved foregrounds
- The foreground bias can be modeled
- and the shot noise amplitude can be measured
- Data and results released in January 2013!